External Injection in Plasma Accelerators

Michele Croia

Osama Mohsen

Amir Hanna



Today's accelerators?

SLAC Summer Seminal on Electron and Photon Beams



2010

Money, A lot of money!

Stanford Linear Collider (SLC) 1962

 $E_{acc} \approx 20 \ MV/m$ Frequency: 3 *GHz*



Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) project



Why plasma?
$$E_0\left[\frac{V}{m}\right] \cong 96\sqrt{n_0 (cm^{-3})}$$

How much is this? $n_0 = 10^{18} cm^{-3}$ we obtain $E_0 \cong 100 \ GV/m$

Problem?
$$\lambda_p = 2\pi c \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0 m}{n_0 e^2}} \cong 30 \,\mu\text{m}$$



PWFA

LWFA



Plasma Acceleration

• Driver pulse creates a perturbation that travel in the place

$$\lambda_p = 2\pi c \sqrt{rac{arepsilon_0 m}{n_0 e^2}}$$
 where n_0 is the plasma density

Possible driver configurations

Particle beam (PWFA)	Laser pulse (LWFA)
Coulomb Repulsion blows out electrons	Ponderomotive Force of the laser blows out electrons $F_p \propto \nabla E^2$





A Simple Model



The accelerating field in the wake in the region of interest is linear so we can approximate the bubble with a uniform charged sphere:

$$E_r = \frac{en_0}{3\varepsilon_0}r$$

Radial symmetric field

 Bunch witness in the accelerating bubble area, is accelerated by the wake of the driving beam



Self Injection Vs External Injection



- Problems? :
- High Energy spread.
- Difficult to control!

7



LWFA and PWPA

- 1. Intense laser pulse drives a large E field (wake field), which accelerates particles in shorter distances (tens of Microns) when compared to conventional accelerators.
- 2. When Electron density at the walls reaches a threshold value, self injection occurs at the back of the bubble

Advantages:

1-High accelerating gradient (100GV/m)

Disadvantages:

1- Large energy Spread due to self injection





PWPA

 Intense laser pulse drives a large E field (wake field), which accelerates particles in shorter distances (tens of Microns) when compared to conventional accelerators.



What makes a good injection scheme?

- 1- Small energy spread.
- 2-Short electron bunch.
- 3- Control of accelerated charge.
- 4- Tuning beam energy.



Colliding Pulse Injection Mechanism [J. Faure et al 2006]





- 1st laser: 3.4x10^18 W/cm² (a=1.3)
- 2nd laser: 4x10^17 W/cm² (a=0.4)



Ultra Cold Electron Bunch Generation, B. Hidding et al [2012]



 1- Mixture of two gases He and Li, which has a lower ionization
E compared to He.

2- Electron bunch is sent in the gas:

Where, σ_r = 5 µm σ_z = 7 µm Q= 300pC E= 200 MeV

3- This beam has a transverse Field $E_{r, max}$ =27 GV/m, that is sufficient to ionizes Li but not He.

Where, $n_{e,Li} = 3.3 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, λ_p (Li) ~60 mm, n_b ~ 6.6 ×10¹⁷ cm⁻³ 4-Ti : Sapphire laser (I= 7 ×10¹⁴ Wcm⁻² E_o= 72GV/m) is focused inside the bubble. 5- Electrons from He are

captured and accelerated by the longitudinal field inside the bubble.



Result of acceleration

1- Final Energy of the witness after 9 mm of plasma is: E= 300 MeV, $\Delta E = 3\%$ $\epsilon \simeq 10^{-8}$ m rad, Q= 2pC

Advantages:

- 1- Controlled injection
- 2- Very low Emittance.

Disadvantages:

1- Synchronization between electron bunch and laser.





Injection Using Tunnel Ionized Electron ,A. Pak et al [2010]



Summary

- We presented the advantages of plasma-based acceleration over the RF counterpart.
- The key point to having low energy spread is to control the injection mechanism.
- PWFA with external injection seems to be the most simple and promising technique to have low Energy spread and good control over accelerated charge.
- A promising field that is still growing, hopefully It can achieve compact accelerator or help reducing size and cost of current accelerator



References

- E. Esarey, C. P. Schroeder, and W. P. Leemans, Review of Modern Physics, 81, 1229 (2009)
- + H. Suk, N. Barov, J. B. Rosenzweig, and E. Esarey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1011 (2001)
- J. Faure et al., Nature 444, 737, 2006
- B. Hidding et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 035001 (2012).